Λ_c^+/Λ_c^- and $\Lambda_b^0/\overline{\Lambda}_b^0$ production asymmetry at the LHC from heavy quark recombination

Wai Kin Lai*[1] and Adam K. Leibovich†[1]

[1] *Pittsburgh Particle Physics Astrophysics and Cosmology Center (PITT PACC) Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA*

(Dated: October 9, 2014)

arXiv:1410.2091v1 [hep-ph] 8 Oct 2014

Abstract

The asymmetries in the forward region production cross section of Λ_c^+/Λ_c^- and $\Lambda_b^0/\overline{\Lambda}_b^0$ are predicted using the heavy quark recombination mechanism for pp collisions at 7 TeV and 14 TeV. Using non-perturbative parameters determined from various previous experiments, we find that $A_p(\Lambda_c^+/\Lambda_c^-) \sim 1-2\%$ and $A_p(\Lambda_b^0/\overline{\Lambda}_b^0) \sim 1-3\%$ in the forward region covered by the LHCb experiment.

* Electronic address: wal16@pitt.edu
† Electronic address: akl2@pitt.edu

Asymmetries in productions of heavy hadrons and antihadrons could provide clues to CP violation and physics beyond the standard model. At the LHC, since the initial state pp has a positive baryon number, it is not obvious that productions of heavy hadrons and antihadrons are symmetric even within QCD. In fact, the CERN Intersecting Storage Rings observed an asymmetry in the number of Λ_c^+ versus Λ_c^- [1, 2], where production of Λ_c^+ was favored over Λ_c^- in proton-proton collisions. On the other hand, the lowest-order QCD cross sections predict equal numbers of charm and anticharm baryons. More recently, the CMS detector has measured a small asymmetry in Λ_b and $\bar{\Lambda}_b$ production [3], in particular in the largest rapidity bin. There have been many models to try to explain this phenomenology, for instance [4–8]. In this paper, we show that the asymmetry can be explained by the QCD-inspired heavy quark recombination mechanism [9–12], and that the LHCb experiment should see an asymmetry in both Λ_c and Λ_b production.

A similar asymmetry can be seen in the meson system. The asymmetry in $D^\pm$ production has been observed in the forward region at LHCb [13], and can also be explained using the heavy quark recombination mechanism [14]. The heavy quark recombination mechanism also successfully explained production asymmetries of $D^\pm$ and $\Lambda_c^\pm$ in fixed-target experiments [10–12, 15]. Therefore, the prediction on production asymmetries of Λ_c^+/Λ_c^- and $\Lambda_b^0/\overline{\Lambda}_b^0$ using the heavy quark recombination mechanism should give a sensible estimate for the contribution to the asymmetries from the standard model.

The production asymmetry A_p of a Λ_Q (udQ) baryon is defined by

$$A_p = \frac{d\sigma(\Lambda_Q) - d\sigma(\overline{\Lambda}_Q)}{d\sigma(\Lambda_Q) + d\sigma(\overline{\Lambda}_Q)}\,, \tag{1}$$

where Q is either c or b. Factorization theorems of perturbative QCD [16] state that heavy hadron production cross section can be written in a factorized form. At the LHC, the cross section for producing a Λ_Q baryon in a pp collision, at leading order in a $1/p_T$ expansion, is given by

$$d\sigma[pp \to \Lambda_Q + X] = \sum_{i,j} f_{i/p} \otimes f_{j/p} \otimes d\hat{\sigma}[ij \to Q + X] \otimes D_{Q\to\Lambda_Q}\,, \tag{2}$$

where $f_{i/p}$ is the partion distribution function for parton i in the proton, $d\hat{\sigma}(ij \to Q + X)$ is the partonic cross section and $D_{Q\to\Lambda_Q}$ is the fragmentation function describing hadronization of a heavy quark Q into a Λ_Q baryon. The corresponding equation for $\overline{\Lambda}_Q$ is obtained by replacing $D_{Q\to\Lambda_Q}$ by $D_{\overline{Q}\to\overline{\Lambda}_Q}$. Charge conjugation symmetry in QCD implies that $D_{Q\to\Lambda_Q} =$

$D_{\overline{Q}\to\overline{\Lambda}_Q}$. Thus, perturbative QCD predicts that $A_p = 0$, up to corrections suppressed by $1/p_T$.

It should be noted that there are corrections to Eq. (2) that scale as powers of $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/m_Q$ and $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/p_T$. One should expect non-vanishing power-suppressed contributions to A_p at low p_T. A QCD-based model for these power corrections is the heavy quark recombination mechanism [9–12]. In this scenario, a light quark and a heavy quark coming out from the hard scattering process combine to form the final state baryon. This process is of order $\Lambda_{\rm QCD} m_Q/p_T^2$ relative to Eq. (2). In what follows, after a brief review of the heavy quark recombination mechanism,[1] we calculate A_p due to heavy quark recombination for Λ_c^+/Λ_c^- and $\Lambda_b^0/\overline{\Lambda}_b^0$.

Figure 1 (a) and (b) show diagrams for the recombination process with initial state qg and Qq respectively. Their contributions to the cross section are given by

$$(a) \qquad d\hat{\sigma}[\Lambda_Q] = d\hat{\sigma}[qg \to (Qq)^n + \overline{Q}]\eta[(Qq)^n \to \Lambda_Q]\,, \tag{3}$$

$$(b) \qquad d\hat{\sigma}[\Lambda_Q] = d\hat{\sigma}[Qq \to (Qq)^n + g]\eta[(Qq)^n \to \Lambda_Q]\,, \tag{4}$$

where $(Qq)^n$ indicates that the light quark of flavor q with momentum $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ in the Q rest frame is produced in the state n, where n labels the color and angular momentum quantum numbers of the quark pair. The cross section is factored into a perturbatively calculable piece $d\hat{\sigma}$ and a nonperturbative factor $\eta[(Qq)^n \to \Lambda_Q]$ encoding the probability for the quark pair with quantum number n to hadronize into the final state including the Λ_Q. This factorization can be proved within HQET [17]. Equations (3) and (4) must then be convoluted with the proton parton distribution functions to get the final hadronic cross section.

The perturbative piece for process (a), was calculated to lowest order in [12]. Following the method in [12], we calculate the partonic cross sections for process (b), $Qq \to (Qq)^n + g$ (Fig. 1 (b)):

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\hat{t}}[Qq \to (Qq)^n + g] = -\frac{2\pi^2\alpha_s^3 m_Q^2}{27S^2T} G(n|S,T), \tag{5}$$

[1] For a full review, please see Refs. [9–12, 17].



(a) (b)

FIG. 1: Diagrams for production of a Λ_Q baryon by the heavy-quark recombination mechanism for (a) $qg \to (Qq)^n + \overline{Q}$ and (b) $Qq \to (Qq)^n + g$. Each process has five diagrams. Single lines represent light quarks, double lines heavy quarks, and the shaded blob the Λ_Q baryon.

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(^1S_0^{(\bar{3})}|S,T) &= -\frac{16S}{T}\left(1-\frac{TU}{S^2}\right) - \frac{m_Q^2}{U}\left(3+\frac{28S}{U}+\frac{16S^2}{U^2}-\frac{16U^2}{S^2}\right) + \frac{4m_Q^4T}{SU^2}\left(3+\frac{4U}{S}+\frac{8S}{U}\right), \\
G(^3S_1^{(\bar{3})}|S,T) &= 3G(^1S_0^{(\bar{3})}|S,T) - 32\left(\frac{U}{S}-\frac{S^2}{U^2}\right) - \frac{4m_Q^2}{U}\left(8-\frac{6S}{U}-\frac{16S^2}{U^2}+\frac{13U}{S}+\frac{15U^2}{S^2}\right), \\
G(^1S_0^{(6)}|S,T) &= -\frac{4S}{T}\left(2-\frac{5TU}{S^2}\right) - \frac{m_Q^2}{U}\left(27+\frac{14S}{U}+\frac{8S^2}{U^2}-\frac{20U^2}{S^2}\right) + \frac{2m_Q^4T}{SU^2}\left(9+\frac{10U}{S}+\frac{8S}{U}\right), \\
G(^3S_1^{(6)}|S,T) &= 3G(^1S_0^{(6)}|S,T) - \frac{8S}{T}\left(3+\frac{5TU}{S^2}+\frac{5S}{U}+\frac{2S^2}{U^2}\right) + \frac{4m_Q^2T}{S^2}\left(27-\frac{S}{U}-\frac{S^2}{U^2}-\frac{8S^3}{U^3}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{6}
$$

where we have defined $S = \hat{s} - m_Q^2 = (k+p)^2 - m_Q^2, T = \hat{t} = (k-p_Q)^2$, and $U = \hat{u} - m_Q^2 = (k-l)^2 - m_Q^2$.

The heavy quark $\overline{Q}$ in the final state of process (a) could fragment into a $\overline{\Lambda}_Q$ baryon. Charge conjugation of this process gives the "opposite-side recombination":

$$
(c) \qquad d\hat{\sigma}[\Lambda_Q] = \sum_n d\hat{\sigma}[qg \to (\overline{Q}q)^n + Q] \sum_{\overline{H}_{meson}} \rho[(\overline{Q}q)^n \to \overline{H}_{meson}] \otimes D_{Q\to\Lambda_Q}, \tag{7}
$$

$$
(d) \qquad d\hat{\sigma}[\Lambda_Q] = \sum_n d\hat{\sigma}[\bar{q}g \to (\overline{Q}\bar{q})^n + Q] \sum_{\overline{H}_{baryon}} \eta[(\overline{Q}\bar{q})^n \to \overline{H}_{baryon}] \otimes D_{Q\to\Lambda_Q} \tag{8}
$$

where H_{meson} and H_{baryon} are any heavy meson and heavy baryon respectively. We will assume charge conjugation symmetry and take $\rho[(Q\bar{q})^n \to H_{meson}] = \rho[(\overline{Q}q)^n \to \overline{H}_{meson}]$ and $\eta[(Qq)^n \to H_{baryon}] = \eta[(\overline{Q}\bar{q})^n \to \overline{H}_{baryon}]$. For simplicity, we will take H to be a

low-lying heavy hadron. Thus, for Λ_c production we will take H_{meson} to be either D or D^*, and H_{baryon} be any baryon from the lowest mass $J^p = \frac{1}{2}^+$ and $\frac{3}{2}^-$ heavy baryon $SU(3)$ flavor multiplets, and similarly for Λ_b production. We will also assume $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry.

The leading nonperturbative parameters $\rho[(c\bar{q})^n \to D]$ for D mesons are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \rho[c\bar{q}(^1S_0^{(1)}) \to D]\,, & \tilde{\rho}_1 &= \rho[c\bar{q}(^3S_1^{(1)}) \to D]\,, \\ \rho_8 &= \rho[c\bar{q}(^1S_0^{(8)}) \to D]\,, & \tilde{\rho}_8 &= \rho[c\bar{q}(^3S_1^{(8)}) \to D]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

For D^* mesons, we can exploit heavy quark spin symmetry and get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho[c\bar{q}(^1S_0^{(c)}) \to D] &= \rho[c\bar{q}(^3S_1^{(c)}) \to D^*]\,, \\ \rho[c\bar{q}(^3S_1^{(c)}) \to D] &= \rho[c\bar{q}(^1S_0^{(c)}) \to D^*]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Similar relations are also true for B and B^*. The leading nonperturbative parameters $\eta[(Qq)^n \to \Lambda_Q]$ for Λ_Q baryons are

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_3 &= \eta[Qq(^1S_0^{(\bar{3})}) \to \Lambda_Q]\,, & \tilde{\eta}_3 &= \eta[Qq(^3S_1^{(\bar{3})}) \to \Lambda_Q]\,, \\ \eta_6 &= \eta[Qq(^1S_0^{(6)}) \to \Lambda_Q]\,, & \tilde{\eta}_6 &= \eta[Qq(^3S_1^{(6)}) \to \Lambda_Q]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

All the ρs and ηs scale as Λ_{QCD}/m_Q. Contributions of feeddown from heavier baryons in processes (a) and (b) can be taken into account by using the inclusive parameter η_{inc}:

$$\eta_{inc}[(Qq)^n \to \Lambda_Q] = \eta[(Qq)^n \to \Lambda_Q] + \sum_{H_{baryon} \neq \Lambda_Q} \eta[(Qq)^n \to H_{baryon}] B[H_{baryon} \to \Lambda_Q + X] \tag{12}$$

With our choice of possible $\overline{H}_{baryon}$ in the opposite-side recombination, by simple quark counting and the fact that $B[\Sigma_Q \to \Lambda_Q + X] \approx 1$, we have

$$\sum_{\overline{H}_{baryon}} \eta[(\overline{Q}\bar{q})^n \to \overline{H}_{baryon}] \approx \frac{3}{2} \eta_{inc}[(Qq)^n \to \Lambda_Q]\,. \tag{13}$$

From [14], a reasonable fit to $D^\pm$ asymmetry at LHCb gives $0.055 < \rho_1 < 0.065$, $0.65 < \rho_8 < 0.8$, $0.24 < \tilde{\rho}_1 < 0.3$ and $0.24 < \tilde{\rho}_8 < 0.3$ for $\rho[(c\bar{d})^n \to D^+]$. Best single-parameter fit to $\Lambda_c^\pm$ asymmetry in fixed target experiments gives $\tilde{\eta}_3 = 0.058$ for Λ_c [12]. We will take $\eta_1 = \tilde{\eta}_1 = \tilde{\eta}_3 = 0$ and $0.052 < \tilde{\eta}_3 < 0.064$ for Λ_c. For the ηs for Λ_b and ρs for B, we simply mutliply the Λ_c and D counterparts by the theoretical scaling factor m_c/m_b. We

use MSTW 2008 LO PDFs with $m_c = 1.275$ GeV and $m_b = 4.18$ GeV. The fragmentation function $D_{Q\to\Lambda_Q}$ is taken as

$$D_{Q\to\Lambda_Q}(z) = f_{\Lambda_Q}\delta(1-z)\,, \tag{14}$$

where f_{Λ_Q} is the inclusive fragmentation probability. This form of fragmentation function was found to be better than the Peterson form when fitting to fixed target Λ_c^+/Λ_c^- asymmetry data [12]. We take $f_{\Lambda_c^+} = 0.101$, which is the average of the values listed in [18]. $f_{\Lambda_b^0}$ is taken to be 0.09 from [19]. We use the LO cross section for the perturbative QCD rate Eq. (2). The factorization scale is set to be $\mu_f = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m_Q^2}$.

For Λ_c^+/Λ_c^- productions, the kinematic region is taken to be $2 < y < 5$ and 2 GeV $< p_T <$ 20 GeV. We find that $2.0\% < A_p(\Lambda_c^+/\Lambda_c^-) < 2.4\%$ for $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and $1.2\% < A_p(\Lambda_c^+/\Lambda_c^-) < 1.5\%$ for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. For $\Lambda_b^0/\overline{\Lambda}_b^0$ productions, the kinematic region is taken to be $2 < y < 5$ and 5 GeV $< p_T <$ 20 GeV. We find that $2.2\% < A_p(\Lambda_b^0/\overline{\Lambda}_b^0) < 2.6\%$ for $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and $1.1\% < A_p(\Lambda_b^0/\overline{\Lambda}_b^0) < 1.4\%$ for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV. Figures 2 and 3 show the rapidity and transverse momentum distributions of A_p for Λ_c^+/Λ_c^- and $\Lambda_b^0/\overline{\Lambda}_b^0$ respectively. The asymmetry is significant in the high rapidity and low rapidity ends ($\sim 2-15\%$).

In summary, we have use the heavy quark recombination mechanism to calculate the production asymmetries for Λ_c^+/Λ_c^- and $\Lambda_b^0/\overline{\Lambda}_b^0$ at the LHCb experiment. The heavy quark recombination mechanism is a correction of order $\Lambda_{\rm QCD} m_Q/p_\perp^2$ to the leading order QCD prediction. Therefore, we expect large effects at small $p_\perp$ and/or large rapidity. Our calculation confirms this expectation, where the differential distributions are significant at the high-rapidity and low-p_T ends ($\sim 2-15\%$). The integrated asymmetries in the LHCb region are of the order of $\sim 1-3\%$ and should be measurable.

Acknowledgements

AKL and WKL are supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY-1212635.

[1] W. S. Lockman, T. Meyer, J. Rander, P. Schlein, R. Webb, S. Erhan and J. Zsembery, Phys. Lett. B **85**, 443 (1979).

[2] P. Chauvat *et al.* [R608 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **199**, 304 (1987).



(a)



(b)

FIG. 2: Asymmetry in Λ_c^+/Λ_c^- production as a function of (a) rapidity y and (b) transverse momentum p_T in the kinematic region $2 < y < 5$ and 2 GeV $< p_T <$ 20 GeV in 7 TeV (grey band) and 14 TeV (black band) pp collisions.

[3] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **714**, 136 (2012) [arXiv:1205.0594 [hep-ex]].

[4] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson, G. Ingelman and T. Sjostrand, Phys. Rept. **97**, 31 (1983).

[5] S. J. Brodsky, P. Hoyer, C. Peterson and N. Sakai, Phys. Lett. B **93**, 451 (1980).

[6] S. J. Brodsky, C. Peterson and N. Sakai, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 2745 (1981).

[7] J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 014011 (2012) [arXiv:1205.1529 [hep-ph]].

[8] J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 014023 (2014) [arXiv:1405.2885 [hep-ph]].



(a)



(b)

FIG. 3: Asymmetry in $\Lambda_b^0/\overline{\Lambda}_b^0$ production as a function of (a) rapidity y and (b) transverse momentum p_T in the kinematic region $2 < y < 5$ and 2 GeV $< p_T <$ 20 GeV in 7 TeV (grey band) and 14 TeV (black band) pp collisions.

[9] E. Braaten, Y. Jia and T. Mehen, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 034003 (2002) [hep-ph/0108201].

[10] E. Braaten, Y. Jia and T. Mehen, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 014003 (2002) [hep-ph/0111296].

[11] E. Braaten, Y. Jia and T. Mehen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 122002 (2002) [hep-ph/0205149].

[12] E. Braaten, M. Kusunoki, Y. Jia and T. Mehen, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 054021 (2004) [hep-ph/0304280].

[13] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **718**, 902 (2013) [arXiv:1210.4112 [hep-ex]].

[14] W. K. Lai, A. K. Leibovich and A. A. Petrov, [arXiv:1408.2843 [hep-ph]].

[15] E. M. Aitala *et al.* [E791 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **371**, 157 (1996) ; *ibid.* **411**, 230 (1997); G. A. Alves *et al.* [E769 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 812 (1994); *ibid.* **77**, 2392 (1996); M. Adamovich *et al.* [BEATRICE Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. B **495**, 3 (1997); M. Adamovich *et al.* [WA82 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **305**, 402 (1993); F. G. Garcia *et al.* [SELEX Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **528**, 49 (2002); E. M. Aitala *et al.* [E791 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **495**, 42 (2000); M. I. Adamovich *et al.* [WA89 Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **8**, 593 (1999).

[16] J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper and G. F. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B **263**, 37 (1986).

[17] C. H. Chang, J. P. Ma and Z. G. Si, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 014018 (2003) [hep-ph/0301253].

[18] H. Abramowicz *et al.* [ZEUS Collaboration], JHEP **1309**, 058 (2013) [arXiv:1306.4862 [hep-ex]].

[19] T. Affolder *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1663 (2000) [hep-ex/9909011].